Previous references.

7·388-06: 1-6 Bang 07
341-06: 1-2 B. Mar.
114-05: 1-4 B. January
179-09: 6-8 B. x 10 (Gen. Jun)

File No. 368-09

1909-10.

Serial Nos. 1-4.

Later references.

Mun. 292-10 (1:4)
Jour 138 & 25-28-716-13
ml 400-1361-47

P28E

G.F. 16-154 & 7866, B. March 1916.
G. 7. 16 of 16 & 40253
Rev. III-23-102 February 17

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

G.F. 9-17 & 5761 & 88,
G.F. 9-17 & 107 & 708, B. Jan 18

G.F. 9-49 & 103 Mis. B. May 18

G.F. 9-17. & 18 & 2186, B. Sept 18
" 9-18 & 70 & 75 B Feb 1919
" 9-18 & 1. Serial Nos. 1-4.
" 12-18 & 81, 106-8, B May, 1919.
" 9-69 & 72 & 86, 13 March 1920

Part B. for Navember

SUBJECT.

Re arranging the house-building advance to N. Rajachariya, States that Govt are not in favour of granting such advance to municipal Servants.

---

1. From the President Municipal Council, Bangalore No. 158 d. 1-2-10 Recommends the grant of an advance of one year's pay to N. Rajachariya to enable him to complete the construction of his house in the Chamarajpet Extension.

2. To the President, Bangalore City Mun. Council No. Jl. 4439 d. 5-3-10. Requests him to state if the applicant has had no advance from Govt for building purposes.

From the President No 210. d. 19.3.10 States in the negative

~~I. R. S. 58~~

4. To the President, Mun. Council Bangalore — No. Jl. 253 d. 16.4.10 States that Govt are willing that the grant of H.B. advances from Mun. fu...

G.R.S. 11] 1909-10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Genl Fin)

NOTES.

File No. 368-09

FILE HEADING.

H.B. advance to N. Rajacharya Railway
Octroi Inspector Bangalore Municipality

From

The President, Municipal Council Bangalore

No. 158 Dated 1-2-10 Received 3-2-10

Diary No. 3027	Enclos.	Spare Copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends the grant of an advance of one year's pay to N. Rajacharya to enable him to complete the construction of his house in the Chamarajapet Extension

NOTES AND ORDERS.

It is not stated either in the letter or in the original application whether the applicant has had an advance from Govt at any time before

2 Submitted with a draft

BKS 21/2

3 Is the applicant a Govt. Servant?

mk 22/2/10

(no 2)

mk 21/2/10

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 368 of 1907.

Serial No.

1 Subs

This may be referred to Br IV for a reply to the query of the President of the Municipality added in the margin.

N 22/2

MR 22/2/10 To 5 RN 22.2.10 11837 dt 22/2

b Railway Police Inspector N. Raja Char is not a Govt servant; but he is a municipal servant.

Chrs orig Br II 26.2.10 Jells

25/2/10.

Submitted

28/2 P Please see correspondence noted in Column flagged P.

MR 2/3/10

9/ Done. In such cases advances are I think made from municipal funds. Please put up the file containing orders on the point. Please also note if cases of this kind are not to be dealt with by Br IV. What has been the practice so far?

MR 3.2.10

2 To The President Bangalore City Municipal Council,

No. H 4439 of 5-3-10.

Requests to furnish details if the applicant has had

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

had no advance from Govt: for building purposes

3 From the President No: 210 dtd 19.3.10. States that he has had no advance before.

With regard the Asstt: Se's query, it is pointed out that some time back the H: Branch used to sanction the grant of H.B. Advances to Municipal Servants & it was subsequently held that Municipal Servants drawing their pay from Municipal Funds should likewise draw their advances for House-building purposes from the same fund. vide correspondence ending in Gl. Dis: 388-06.

F. It is, may therefore be transf^d to Br: IV for being dealt with.

K.S. 31/3/10

12/ To Br iv
31-3-10

H Br IV
31/3

13/ Under G.O. No. 7C 1894-934/Jud 478-06 dtd 9-10-09 sufficient collateral security has to be taken in this case. Draft calling for further

X

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

Information is submitted.

Hbn sg 14 Where is the collateral
7/4/10. security to be demanded?
Is it not ~~delivered~~ at the time of
advancing the second instal-
ment ~~of~~ of the loan? What is
the practice in this ~~resp~~ respect?
How many bonds are taken
from the applicant; only one,
either the application is granted
for the total amount applied
for, or two, as each instalment
is paid?

6.4.10: Illegible 8 Vm 8/6/4

Submitted.

15 It will be seen from the mortgage bond
form prescribed in G.O. No. H 3211-51 / G.J. 179-09-7
& 10.1.10 that the collateral security should be
demanded before making the 1st advance. There ~~is~~
will be only one bond for the whole amount
of the one year's advance, though it is given
in 2 instalments on separate receipts.

Hbn sg 16 The first portion of
7/4/10. the bond form seems to
require a little alteration; ~~for~~ for
where the advance of a year's
levy is sanctioned, ~~for~~ the amount

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

is paid in two instalments and not in full at the time of the execution of the bond but the recital in the bond is to the effect that consideration has been received. Collateral security is required to be furnished only where the advance amounts to more than six months pay. The bond form provides for the taking of collateral security but it does not contain suitable recitals regarding the receipt of consideration

17 The advance applied for by Raja Chanya may be sanctioned the President being requested to take the necessary bond with sufficient collateral security.

Secy. 9-4-10. JMM

18 Please send to Br II for consideration in consultation with Govt advocate as to whether any change in the form of the deed is required in the cases mentioned above.

19 Forwarded to Br II 15/4/10

15-4-10 JMM

per Dr wdr 15/4/10

H Lees Dr 7/49

15/4/10

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gl. Div. File No. 8 369 of 1909

749

Serial No. 20

Submitted file Gl. Div. 179 of 1909 which contains the draft deed as approved by the Govt. Advocate K.S.

20.4.10

20/4/10

The payment of money in instalments is only an additional safeguard to see that the money advanced is spent for the purpose for which it is advanced. The G.O. sanctioning an advance sanctions the whole sum and as only one bond is taken for the whole sum in the first instance there seems to be no necessity to introduce any clauses for the payment of instalments. Consideration of The whole amount is theoretically paid to him, the second instalment is practically at his credit, only he cannot draw it till some other events happen. The bond would not be rendered void by the non-recital of this condition becomes invalid.

As to the non-recital of Collateral security in the bond, the omission is a serious one and the Govt. remedy is its inclusion. But if the Collateral security is the applicants own he can make use of the existing bond by receiving the details of the additional security in addition to the bond now executed. If the security is not forthcoming

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gl 7ᵐ File No. 368 of 1910

Serial No.

Gentlemen - there will a necessity to revise them specifically and the bond has to be revised accordingly. Moreover being troublesome and perhaps lead to complication of collateral securing in the shape of property is taken from 3ᵈ parties. Moreover perhaps we entail additional work upon the District or Taluq authorities to find out whether the property in question is or is not previously encumbered. Moved therefore be better to restrict property collateral securities to the properties of the debtor and in the case of third parties offered as sureties to accept only personal securities and property. The file may please be sent to the Govt Advocate for his opinion.

H Secy)

22.6.10

Please consult Govt advocate

Ag
26/4/10

officially

Forwarded to the Govt Advocate for favour of opinion and furnishing a revised draft of the Agreement if necessary.

No 2814
dt 28-4-1910
Encl 4 collections
& Misc papers

H.O

Dy Chief Secretary to Govt
27.4.10.

for H Secy

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

U.O. 64.

Serial No.

II Hvr
29/4

25/ The form of the bond (flag W) is broad enough to suit the following cases arising under ordinary circumstances.

1. The debtor alone may execute the bond making the site and the intended building thereon and any other property of his liable for the debt advanced, by omitting the reference to surety,

2. A surety may join the debtor in executing the document either giving his personal undertaking alone as security or making any of his own immoveable property also liable for the debt, and

3. Any number of sureties may join in undertaking the liability.

This form is thus comprehensive enough for all practical purposes. The only respect in which the form requires some alteration is, I think, when the amount advanced is paid not in one ~~some~~ sum but in two instalments. I have indicated in pencil on the printed form, the necessary amendment to meet this case.

29. 4. 1910 S. Narayana Rao
Govt Advocate

Sd/ 30/4/10

What would prevent Confusion and at the same time give a short legal bond for execution would be to have Copies of different bonds

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.	
	printed to suit different Circumstances
	Mr the use of one form in all Circumstances
A	that has led to the Correspondence. The different
	forms are to hand viz (1) One for ~~personal~~
	debtor Mortgaging the house and getting advance
	in one lump sum instalments (2) where the
	debtor is personal Security only employed (3)
	where the debtor owns property Collateral
	Security in the shape of immovable property,
	then the form that applies to the case will
	be taken. Should the Govt, Govt Servant may
	be asked to print Stock of different Sorts as
	per Copies to be submitted for approval.
	(Illegible) 30.4.10
	2) Let me see a copy of the form as
	it is actually used in execution
	Submitted with a Copy of the old 3.5.10
	form & one of the new form.
	The old form is now only used 3.5.10
	in the case of an advance of 6
	months' pay under the Code.
	K.S.
	6/5/10
	(Illegible) 6.5.10
	do not find any correspondence as required

for the necessary correspondence in Notes see the Index

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

Serial No.

To at A. The printing of new forms of special kinds is not necessary. A brief order embodying the slight changes in the new form (appended to the order of 10.1.10) now supplied by the Govt Advocate may issue. When using the new forms now in stock the changes should be effected in manuscript & when printing the next supply the Supdt Govt Ptg should effect the change.

To Re advance in the particular case may be Dr out of Municipal funds. I suppose there is no legal difficulty & the Regulation permits municipal money being used for such purposes. If this aspect of the case is clear (Govt Secy will please look up) necessary orders may issue.

M
8/5

Supdt Govt Ptg
Govt Repr
Govt Press

To I There is no express provision in the Municipal Regulations authorising the grant of House building Advances to its employés. Section 56(k) seems to cover such cases but it is doubtful whether Courts would construe the section liberally enough. If it be held that advances are not allowed under S. 56(k) then they cannot get any House building Advance at all. But it is as much as a whole time public servants and their service also counts for pension should be treated if they are not treated equally with other employés under Government. On the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13.5.08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 868 of 1909

Serial No.

749

analogy it seems an advance from the Municipal funds can be made.

(Sd) L. 7.5.10

32) I would consult the Advocate on the legality of granting such advances from the Municipal funds before issuing the order.

M. 18/5

33) No 7E 97 dt 19-5-1910 forwarded unofficially to the Advocate for favour of opinion.

By order
Sd/ Chandra Narain Mukherjee
for Secy
18-5-10

En 4 collections of Rules & Regulations

7.5.10

U.O. 68.

34) Loans out of Municipal funds to Municipal Servants for building houses are not to be granted by Regulation VII of 1906.

S. Narayan Rao
20.5.1910.
Govt Advocate

II

Sd/ L.
22-5-10

Subd. 35) mk 23/5/10 The application will have to be rejected but it seems very hard that while one branch of Public Servants have this advantage another branch is denied the privilege.

(Sd) L. 25.5.10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—56,000—27.4.09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

8.7 File No. 368 of 1909-10

Serial No.

749

Under the circumstances we have either to revert to the practice of making H. B. advances to Municipal servants out of the fuel Revenues or to stop making such advances. I cannot recommend the former course. I do not think that special legislative action should be undertaken to authorize such advances. There is no hardship if Municipal servants cannot get the benefits of fuel service. In some provinces Municipal service is in many cases non-pensionable.

25/5/10

6335 21-5-10 37) Dewan)

It seems preferable to state making such advances. Mpl. Servants are not subject under the Regulations of Govt. Servants

Encls 320

Draft 3.11.10

Submitted with a draft

BAP 10/11

Recommended / Recommended before issue) 4/10.11.10.

Vide attached draft.

To Br: IV for note & return 18/11

Noted and returned 18/11

Issue

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GIPB—55,000—27.4.09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. 7. File No. 368 of 1909-10

Serial No.	
4	To The President, Municipal Council Bangalore No H 2539 Dt 16. 11. 1910. States that Government are advised that the grant of house building advances from municipal funds is not legal & that the Govt are also not in favour of granting such advances to Municipal Servants from the general revenues & that in Consequence the grant of such Concession to Municipal employees must cease

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

D 3027

II
3/2

1909-10 368-09

From

The PRESIDENT, MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
BANGALORE CITY.

To The Financial Secretary to the Govt of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Dated 31st January Bangalore

Despatched 1st February 1910

Received

General No. 158

No.

Enclosure No. One.

Recommending the grant of one year's pay, viz, Rs 180/=, to N. Raja Char Railway Octroi Inspector in this Municipality, to enable him to complete his building raised on Site No: 2 in the Western (Chamaraj pet) Extension of the City.

2

B.M. 108]

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL ~~COMMISSION~~ Council

City, Bangalore, 31st January 1920

No. 158

Enc. One

FROM

THE PRESIDENT,
Municipal ~~Commission,~~ Council
Bangalore City.

To

The Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to submit herewith, for favour of sanction, an application from N. Raja Char, Railway Octroi Inspector in this Municipality, praying that he may be granted one year's pay amounting to Rs. 180/-, to enable him to complete his building raised on Site No. 20 in the Western(Chamarajpet) Extension of the City.

2. The pay of this official is Rs. 15/- per mensem.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For President.

Dated 13/11/09
Rd 10/11/09

To,

The Electric Inspector,
Bangalore City.

Sir,

I beg to state that I own a Site Opposite to 9th Cross Road near Mr: Chanda Rao's Cottage & being Mr: H. Ramiah's Bungalow no: 20, in the Western (Chamarajapete) Extension, Bangalore City. I have built only a small portion and for want of funds, I could not complete the building fit for occupation.

Rd 8/11/09 Ptk. E

I therefore beg that you will be so kind as to recommend me for the grant of an advance of one years pay for completing my house. My pay is ~~Rs~~ 15/- per mensem.

I certify that the advance now applied for will be spent in building only and pledge myself that, should there be any surplus funds after the house is completed, the same will be immediately refunded to Government.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
M. Ajjacharya.
Electric Inspector.
Bangalore City.

Dy 8th Nov: 1909.

T no 131

Memo:

Submitted to the collector of Municipal Taxes for favour of consideration.

12/11/09.

Detective Inspector.
Bangalore City.

Recd 16/11/09

do 215 16/11/09. Submitted to the Vice President for orders. 15/11/09

Memo

T 496 17-12-09

The Collector of Municipal Taxes is requested to report whether the land referred to belonging to Raja Chetty is free from encumbrances.

17/12/09.

Bangalore
Dec 09

Vice President

17/12/09

17/12/09

Io 531

Submitted to the Vice President

31/12/07 Mr Balch
cc

3/4/10.

3/11/10

7

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 3

Serial No. 2

4/3/10

Diary No. of letter replied to 3027

No. H 4439

8 5-3-1910

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Genl Fin.)
, *the* 190

To
The President, Municipal
Council, Bangalore city

Date of issue 5th ~~February~~ March 1910

Sir

Signed by W. M. Nicholls Lieut

Row

Adverting to your letter
No 158 g. 31st January 1910,
recommending the grant of
one year's pay to N. Rajachar
Railway acting Inspector, I am
directed to request you to
be so good as to state if
the applicant has had any
advance from Govt at any time
before for building a house
at Bangalore

I have &c

Mk

2.3.10

for Secy

over.

Typed by
Balakrishnana Rao
4. 3. 10.

SUBJECT.

21/2/10

B.M. 108]

D. 7073.

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL ~~COMMISSION~~ Council,

City, Bangalore, 19th/21st *March* 1910

No. 210.

Enc.

G.F. 368-09 sl 3

FROM

THE PRESIDENT,
Municipal ~~Commission~~, Council,
Bangalore City.

To

The Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. Fl. 4439/Gen: Fin: 368-09-2 dated the 5th Instant, I have the honor to state that this is the first time that the official referred to has applied for an advance of pay for building a house.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Subhadra

For President.

BV. MGE

D3774

Gen Fm

II
243

1909-1910. (9

From

The PRESIDENT, MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
BANGALORE CITY.

To

The Financial Secretary
to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Dated 19th March 1910.

Despatched 21st

Received

General No. 210.

No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that this is the
first time that N. Raja
Char of this Municipality
has applied for an advance
of pay for building a
house in the City.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

READ—

Letter No. 425, dated the 8th December 1909, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District:—

"Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 26-06-8—G. F. 179-09, dated 27th November 1909, sanctioning a further advance of six months' pay to Mr. C. Sreekanteswara Iyer, Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, to complete the construction of his house in the Lakshmipuram Extension of the Mysore City and directing me to take a collateral security, I have the honor to state that the form of the mortgage deed now in use is so worded that it does not admit of the collateral security being taken in the same bond. I therefore request to be informed if two separate bonds have to be executed, one in the prescribed mortgage deed form by Mr. Sreekanteswara Iyer and the other by Mr. K. Krishna Iyer, the State Huzur Treasury Officer, who has consented to stand as surety for the former. In that case, I request the favor of your kindly forwarding to me a form of the security bond prescribed by Government in their Proceedings No. Fl. 1894-934—Ml. 478-06, dated 9th October 1909. If two separate bonds are to be secured in cases in which personal securities are to be taken, the parties concerned have to pay stamp duty and registration fees on both the documents. I therefore request that you will be so good as to issue very early definite instructions in the matter."

No. FL. 3211-51—G. F. 179-09-7, DATED BANGALORE, 10TH JANUARY 1910.

ORDER THEREON.—Government are pleased to direct that the form of the mortgage bond drawn up by the Government Advocate and appended to this Order be used in cases of the kind referred to in the letter read above.

2. The Superintendent, Government Press, is requested to print the form and supply Deputy Commissioners with as many copies as they may require.

J. S. CHAKRAVARTI,
Financial Secretary.

To—The Comptroller, Mysore Government.
The Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore, Mysore and Shimoga.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.
The Heads of Departments.
The Superintendent of the Government Press.

FORM OF MORTGAGE BOND IN CASES OF HOUSE BUILDING ADVANCES.

THIS INDENTURE made the.....day of.....
One Thousand Nine Hundred and.....BETWEEN.....of
.....of the first part, and.....of.....
of the second part and the DEWAN of MYSORE of the third part: WITNESSETH that under the orders of the Government of Mysore contained in Proceedings No....., dated....., and in consideration of the sum of Rupees..... paid to the said.....by the said Dewan of Mysore, ~~the receipt whereof the said.....doth hereby acknowledge~~) for the purpose of enabling the said.....to defray the expenses of building a suitable house for his own residence in.....he the said..... and his surety, the said.....do hereby, jointly and severally for themselves, their heirs, executors and administrators covenant with the said Dewan of Mysore and his successors that the said.....and..... their heirs, executors and administrators will pay unto the said Dewan of Mysore,

his successors or assigns the said sum of Rupees.....on the..... day of.....next*: AND THIS INDENTURE ALSO WITNESSETH that for the consideration aforesaid he the said..... ....doth hereby convey unto the said Dewan of Mysore, his successors and assigns ALL that piece of land situated in the.....district of.....registration district of.....and sub-registration district of..... ....containing..... .....more or less bounded on the North by..... .... on the South by..... ... . on the East by..... ... . and on the West by.....together with the dwelling-house and the out-offices, stables, cook-rooms and out-buildings of all kinds used or intended to be used with the said dwelling house lately erected† together with all rights, easements and the appurtenances to the same or any of them belonging: To HOLD the said premises unto the said Dewan of Mysore, his successors and assigns absolutely as his and their own property: PROVIDED always that if and as soon as the said sum of Rupees.....shall have been repaid by the deduction of monthly instalments of the salary of the said..... ..... as in the said order mentioned or by any other means whatsoever then the said Dewan of Mysore, his successors and assigns will at any time thereafter upon the request and at the cost of the said..... .....his heirs, executors and administrators and assigns reconvey the said premises unto the said..... ....his heirs, executors, administrators and assigns or as he or they shall direct: AND it is hereby declared that if the said..... .....shall die or quit the service before the said sum of Rupees.....shall have been fully paid off then and in either of such cases it shall be lawful for the said Dewan of Mysore, his successors or assigns to sell the said premises or any part thereof either together or in parcels and either by public auction or by private contract with power to buy in or rescind any contract for sale and to resell without being responsible for any loss which may be occasioned thereby: AND TO DO and to execute all such acts and assurances for effectuating any such sale as the said Dewan of Mysore, his successors or assigns shall think fit: AND it is hereby declared that the receipt of the said Dewan of Mysore, his successors or assigns for the purchase money of the premises sold or any part thereof shall effectually discharge the purchaser or purchasers therefrom: AND it is hereby declared that the said Dewan of Mysore, his successors and assigns, shall hold the moneys to arise from any sale in pursuance of the aforesaid power UPON TRUST in the first place thereout to pay all the expenses incurred on such sale and in the next place to apply such moneys in or towards satisfaction of the moneys for the time being owing on the security of these presents and then to pay the surplus (if any) to the said ..... his heirs, executors, administrators or assigns: AND it is hereby declared that the said order shall be deemed and taken to be part of these presents.

* Two years from the date of commencement of repayment of the loan.

† Where the house, offices, etc., have not yet been erected or are in course of being built, "for lately erected" substitute "hereafter to be erected" or "now being erected," as the case may be.

IN WITNESS whereof the said..... .....and..... by order of the Deputy Commissioner of the.....District on behalf of the said Dewan of Mysore have hereunto set their hands the day and the year first above written.

Signed by the said..... .. .... and..... and in the presence of

Names of witnesses and address 1. 2.

1909-10

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL
(GENERAL FINANCE)

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 10th January 1910

No. Fl. 3211-51
G. F. 179-09-7

Prescribing the form of mortgage bond to be used in cases in which collateral security is taken for advances of one year's pay for house-building purposes.

GPB—10-2-10—400

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 368-09

Serial No. 4

12/4/10

Diary No. of letter replied to 3774

No. J 2539

16-11-10

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 19 .

To

The President, Municipal Council, Bangalore

Date of issue 16 Nov/10

Signed by W L Narasimhaiah

Sir

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter from No. 210 of 19-3-10 regarding the grant of a house building advance to N. Rajacharya, Railway octroi Inspector, Bangalore Municipality, I am directed to state that Govt are not in favour of granting such advances to Municipal Servants from the general revenues. In consequence, the grants such cesses to municipal employes must cease. I have &c

16.11.10

For Secy.

are advised that the grant of such advances from Municipal funds is not legal - The first are also

over

SUBJECT.

md 10/11/10

S.R. 17/11

Copied by B Krishnamurthy 15-2-10

No. Fl. 2539 / G. F. 368-0-4

FROM

J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, ESQ., M.A., F.R.A.S.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

THE PRESIDENT, MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE.

Dated Bangalore, the 16th November 1910.

SIR,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No. 210, dated 19th March 1910, regarding the grant of a house-building advance to N. Rajacharya, Railway Octroi Inspector, Bangalore Municipality, I am directed to state that Government are advised that the grant of such advances from Municipal Funds is not legal. The Government are also not in favor of granting such advances to Municipal servants from the General Revenues. In consequence, the grant of such concessions to Municipal employees must cease.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
J. S. CHAKRAVARTI,
Financial Secretary to Govt.

Exd.—C. V.

1910-11

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL
(GENERAL FINANCE)

LETTER

Dated 16th November 1910

No. Fl. 2539
G. F. 368-09-4

Declining to grant house-building advances to Municipal employees from the General Revenues, and stating that the grant of such advances from Municipal Funds is not legal.

GPB—19-12-10—200